

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|----------------|-----------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| 10/617.901 | 07/10/2003 | Mark Vandevert Dunkle | AM 7134 4077 | | |
| 0 | 7590 02/2½2007 | EXAMINER | | | |
| BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030 | | | SAEED, USMAAN | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | , | | 2166 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 02/22/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|------------------------|--|--|
| 10/617,901 | DUNKLE, MARK VANDEVERT | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Usmaan Saeed | 2166 | | |

| | Usmaan Saeed | 2166 | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>05 February 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c | Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | rce, which FR 41.31; or (3) | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | g date of the final rejecti | on. | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origon than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | ns of the date of e appeal. Since | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | but prior to the date of filing a brief | , will not be entered b | ecause · | | | |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beappeal; and/or | nsideration and/or search (see NO w); tter form for appeal by materially re | TE below); | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | | ected ciairis. | | | | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co : | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-50. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | ☐ will not be entered, or b) ⊠ wivided below or appended. | ill be entered and an o | explanation of | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but | it hefere or on the date of filing a N | lotice of Appeal will n | nt he entered | | | |
| because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | d sufficient reasons why the affidar | vit or other evidence i | s necessary and | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S | eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a 1). | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | on of the status of the claims after e | entry is below or attac | hed. | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. | ut does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowa | nce because: | | | |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other: | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that applicant is entitled to a new rejection because the examiner failed to clearly explain what part of Chacon allegedly anticipates the "third field" in applicant's claims 1, 21, 42 and 44.

In response to the preceding argument, examiner respectfully submits that examiner cited the limitation "storing in that record a third field specifying an ID which the class of equipment identified by the first field of that record assigns to the attribute value identified by the second field of that record" as the rules accumulate counters for the stn (equipment identification) which are then checked against the PM limit table for that equipment ID (Chacon Col 30, Lines 35-37). The device name will be a concatenation of the part ID and primary procedure ID. There is an explicit field for primary procedure ID (Chacon Col 18, Lines 35-38). The counters for equipment identification are checking the table for the equipment ID and the equipment ID is linked/assigned to the process.

These lines from the final rejection make it clear that counters for the stn (equipment identification) are being checked against the PM limit table for equipment ID.

Further applicant argues that Chacon fails to disclose a database that stores the second field to include any field identifying sensor measurements or operating parameter values.

In response to the preceding arguments examiner respectfully submits that Chacon teaches "storing in that record a second field identifying an attribute whose value is outputted by the class of equipment identified by the first field of that record, wherein said attribute is a sensor measurement or operating parameter of said class of equipment identified by said first field" as according to the present invention, a method and system for creating customized machine tact information includes defining time standards as a function of process parameter and equipment parameters. For example, if a process parameter such as temperature, pressure, etc. and an equipment parameter such as equipment brand name, model, etc (Chacon Col 2, Lines 24-29). The machine tact information is created by accessing and using the stnfamdef table, which contains equipment type records defines/outputs the attributes/parameters. The parameters temperature and pressure are being stored in the scheduler database 30. Fig 7 also shows a sample graphical user interface screen for monitoring and modifying machine tact information.

HOSAIN ALAM SUPERVISION PATENT EXAMINER